

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

	I		I		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/735,519	12/12/2003	Jacho Kim	GUID.160PA (03-512)	1580	
51294 HOLLINGSW	7590 03/18/2008 ORTH & FUNK, LLC	EXAMINER			
8009 34TH A			HOLMES, REX R		
SUITE 125 MINNEAPOL	IS, MN 55425		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3762		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/18/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/735,519	KIM ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
REX HOLMES	3762		

	REXTIGENEO	0102					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 25 February 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
☐ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing	date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth	in the final rejection, which	hever is later. In				
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(I).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date whave been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of at under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (a) above, if checket. Any reply re-ceived by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ite extension fee action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	of the date of appeal. Since				
AMENDMENTS							
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t 			cause				
 (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor 		ΓE below);					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or			ie issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reject	ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		•					
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: 		l be entered and an ex	planation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
13. Other:							
	//George R Evanisko//						
	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3762					
	a., Examinor, rat o						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant argues that Fig. 12 and pages 42-43 provide basis for the limitation "using only the single cardiac signal". The examiner respectfully disagrees. As previously noted in the final rejection malied 1/30/08, the negative limitation/exclusionary proviso must have basis in the original disclosure. The specification does not recite that the device uses only a single signal to classify. The mere lack of a description of other signals, in one embodiment does not give proper basis to claim a negative limitation/exclusionary provisor out single signal to classify. It is further noted that the double patenting rejections still stand as no terminal disclaimers were received by the office.